

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/982,666	10/18/2001	Dennis R. Trumble	AHS-15	7647	
7	590 02/25/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Ansel M. Schwartz			MACHUGA, JOSEPH S		
Suite 304 201 N. Craig Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Pittsburgh, PA 15213			3762		
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

¢ .				·				
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/982,66	66	TRUMBLE, DENNI	s R			
		Examiner		Art Unit	119			
		Joseph S.	•	3762				
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	rest/			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply with Any reply received.	D STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provision THS from the mailing date of this com ply specified above is less than thirty (ply is specified above, the maximum so thin the set or extended period for replaying the priod for replaying the	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 30) days, a reply within the stat statutory period will apply and we will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)☐ Respons	sive to communication(s) fil	ed on						
2a)⊠ This acti	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3) Since th								
closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Cla	aims							
4a) Of th 5)⊠ Claim(s) 6)⊠ Claim(s) 7)⊠ Claim(s)	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2,3,9 and 13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 4-8, 10-12, 14 and 15 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Pape	rs							
10)⊡ The draw Applicant Replacen	cification is objected to by the ving(s) filed on is/are may not request that any objected or declaration is objected	e: a) accepted or b) ection to the drawing(s) I ng the correction is require	ne held in abeyance. Sered if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review ((PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/982,666

Art Unit: 3762

Page 2

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments have been given careful consideration but are not deemed persuasive. Liotta (5456715) disclose a muscle energy converter for a blood pump. The device has a fluid casing. The fluid from this casing is expelled by a bladder type mechanism driven by an actuator arm. The only difference between this assembly and the invention as recited in claims 2, 3 and 9 is the specific type of pump and the exact location of attachment to the tissue. Counter to applicant's arguments it is the examiners opinion that the references do provide an adequate teaching to render the invention obvious. Hutchins (4185617) discloses a cardiac assist pump. A bellows type pump is used in this device to move fluid. This reference would provide a teaching to use this type of pump to move fluid efficiently and reliably in the same environment as provided for by Liotta. Trumble (5479946) also discloses a muscle energy converter. In this device the pump is attached to tissue at the tendons. The reference explicitly chooses this point because of the strong and stable bonds that would exist (column 6, second paragraph.) Accordingly, the teaching to use a bellows type pump and use the tendons for points of attachment in Liotta's device is considered obvious. Finally, regarding the Norin reference (#1905047) and claim 13. The reference, which is one of many cited in the previous office action, clearly teaches that it is extremely old and well know to use a roller bearing cam follow assembly to convert vertical reciprocal movement to horizontal reciprocal movement. Therefore it is considered an obvious variation of other mechanical movements that one skill in the art would be disposed to

Application/Control Number: 09/982,666 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

use given it's accepted history of reliability. Accordingly, for these reasons applicant's arguments are not considered persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liotta (5456715) in view of Trumble (5479946) and Hutchins (4185617).
- 3. Liotta disclose a muscle energy converter having casing (a); fluid ports (11", 11"); a bladder type mechanism within the casing to hold fluid (best illustrated in figure 6A) and an actuator arm mechanism (1-6, 23-26.) The reference does not disclose the bellows or explicitly state that the arm mechanism is attached to the tendon.
- 4. Tremble teaches that it is desirable to attach a muscle energy converter to the tendon to provide a stable long lasting physical connection. This is accomplished by suturing the tendon to a patch of Dacron or Gortex (column 6 lines 1-8.)
- 5. Hutchins discloses a cardiac assist device that uses a bellows type pump to transfer fluid.
- 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to attach Liotta's device directly to a tendon given Trembles teaching that this provides a long lasting physical connection. To use a bellows type chamber instead of a bladder type fluid

Application/Control Number: 09/982,666 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

chamber in Liotta's device would have been obvious given Hutchins disclosure that this is a well know alternative and would offer less resistance than a bladder type assembly. The method of claim 9 is considered provided for by this combination since the actuator arm assembly member 24 would rotate about a pivot point as clearly illustrated in figure 6A.

- 7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liotta (5456715) in view of Tremble (5479946), Hutchins (4185617) and Norin (1905047).
- 8. Liotta disclose a muscle energy converter having casing (a); fluid ports (11", 11"); a bladder type mechanism within the casing to hold fluid (best illustrated in figure 6A) and an actuator arm mechanism (1-6, 23-26.) The reference does not disclose the bellows, roller bearing cam follower or explicitly state that the arm mechanism is attached to the tendon.
- 9. Tremble teaches that it is desirable to attach a muscle energy converter to the tendon to provide a stable long lasting physical connection. This is accomplished by suturing the tendon to a patch of Dacron or Gortex (column 6 lines 1-8.)
- 10. Norin teaches that a roller bearing cam follower assembly is an old and well know means to convert vertical reciprocal movement to horizontal reciprocal movement.
- 11. Hutchins discloses a cardiac assist device that uses a bellows type pump to transfer fluid.
- 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to attach Liotta's device to a tendon given Trembles teaching that this provides a long lasting physical

Application/Control Number: 09/982,666 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

connection. To use a bellows type chamber instead of a bladder type fluid chamber in Liotta's device would have been obvious given Hutchins disclosure that this is a well know alternative and would offer less resistance than a bladder type assembly. To use a roller bearing cam assembly to convert the vertical reciprocal movement of the arm assembly to horizontal reciprocal movement in place of the lever assembly 23 is considered obvious in view of Norin which teaches that this arrangement is old, well know and dependable alternative.

- 13. Claim 1 is allowable.
- 14. Claims 4-8, 10-12, 14 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 6

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph S. Machuga whose telephone number is 703-305-6184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday; 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph S. Machuga

Examiner

Art Unit 3762

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Engel R. Aghy